1 Hon. Benjamin H. Settle 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 NO. CV12-5378-BHS JOSE SANCHEZ, et al., 10 DEFENDANTS' AGREED Plaintiffs. 11 MOTION AND ORDER v. REGARDING ENFORCEMENT OF 12 CONFIDENTIALITY UNITED STATES BORDER PATROL, et al., **AGREEMENT** 13 Defendants. NOTED FOR CONSIDERATION: 14 SEPTEMBER 5, 2012 15 Pursuant to the Court's suggestion, the parties to the above-referenced action intend to 16 enter into a Confidentiality Agreement regarding the treatment of confidential documents 17 produced in discovery. See Dkt. No. 28 (Order on Parties Stipulation and Proposed Protective 18 Order, dated August 27, 2012). The parties are not requesting that the Confidentiality 19 Agreement be entered by the Court. 20 A Confidentiality Agreement is required because the Government anticipates producing 21 documents or providing discovery responses that contain confidential and/or law enforcement 22 sensitive information. This information may include, among other things, Department of 23 Homeland Security ("DHS"), U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP"), and/or U.S. Border

Patrol ("Border Patrol") (referencing all three entities collectively<sup>1</sup>, "Agency") records regarding law enforcement activities/operations, guidelines for its operations, training materials, and internal investigations (collectively, "Agency Records"). Some Agency Records contain tactical information not available to the general public that, if in the wrong hands, could be adversely used against law enforcement by non-law abiding groups or individuals. Other Agency Records, such as those created and collected as part of internal investigations, can contain communications between CBP and/or Border Patrol with other government agencies and branches of government at the federal, state, and local level. These documents could also contain sensitive information about the Border Patrol's staffing, priorities, resources, intelligence and/or methods, in particular, that is law enforcement sensitive and should not be released to the general public.

Due to the sensitive nature of the documents that the U.S. Government Defendants will produce, the Government has concerns about the enforceability of the parties' Confidentiality Agreement, and would like to ensure that the Agreement will be enforceable by the Court in this action.<sup>2</sup> In order to address the Government's concerns, the Government respectfully requests the Court to order that it will enforce the parties' Confidentiality Agreement. Plaintiffs do not oppose this request, which is consistent with the proposed amendment to Local Civil Rule 26(c)(2), which reads as follows:

The court will not enter stipulated protective orders. Parties frequently reach agreements regarding the exchange of confidential information in discovery, and those agreements are often essential to efficient discovery. *The court will enforce these agreements*, provided they do not contradict LCR 5(g) or other rules. The court will not, however, convert these agreements into an order of the court.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Border Patrol is a component of CBP, which, in turn, is itself one of the component agencies within the Department of Homeland Security.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This is especially the case given the jurisdictional issues involved in contract cases against the United States.

1   See http://www.wawd.uscourts.gov (providing text of the proposed amendments which, if	
2 adopted, will become effective December 1, 2012) (emphasis added).	
Accordingly, the Government Defendants hereby respectfully ask the Court enter the	
4 proposed order provided below and Plaintiffs have no objection to this request.	
5 Presented this 5th day of September, 2012.	
PERKINS COIE LLP	JENNY A. DURKAN United States Attorney
/s/ Nicholas P. Gelert NICHOLAS P. GELLERT Brendan J. Peters	/s/ Rebecca S. Cohen REBECCA S. COHEN, WSBA #31767 Assistant United States Attorney
Javier F. Garcia Steven D. Merriman Perkins Coie L.L.P.	United States Attorney's Office 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
Seattle, WA 98101-3099 PH: 359-8000	Phone: 206-553-7970 Fax: 206-553-4073 E-mail: rebecca.cohen@usdoj.gov
E-mail: ngellert@perkinscoie.com  Sarah A. Dunne	STUART F. DELERY Acting Assistant Attorney General
ACLU of Washington Foundation 901 - 5th Ave., Ste. 630	JEFFREY S. ROBINS Assistant Director
PH: 624-2184 E-mail: dunne@aclu-wa.org	TIMOTHY M. BELSAN Trial Attorney
Matt Adams Northwest Immigrant Rights Project 615 Second Ave., Ste. 400	United States Department of Justice Office of Immigration Litigation District Court Section
PH: 957-8611	Ben Franklin Station, P.O. Box 868 Washington, DC 20044 Telephone: (202) 532-4596
E-mail: matt@nwirp.org	Facsimile: (202) 305-7000 E-mail: Timothy.M.Belsan@usdoj.gov
	adopted, will become effective December  Accordingly, the Government Defective December  Accordingly, the Government Defective December  Proposed order provided below and Plaintive December  Presented this 5th day of September  PERKINS COIE LLP  /s/ Nicholas P. Gelert  NICHOLAS P. Gelert  NICHOLAS P. GELLERT  Brendan J. Peters  Javier F. Garcia  Steven D. Merriman  Perkins Coie L.L.P.  1201 Third Ave., Ste. 4800  Seattle, WA 98101-3099  PH: 359-8000  FX: 359-9000  E-mail: ngellert@perkinscoie.com  Sarah A. Dunne  LaRond Baker  ACLU of Washington Foundation  901 - 5th Ave., Ste. 630  Seattle, WA 98164  PH: 624-2184  E-mail: dunne@aclu-wa.org  Matt Adams  Northwest Immigrant Rights Project  615 Second Ave., Ste. 400  Seattle, WA 98104  PH: 957-8611  FX: 587-4009

**ORDER** The parties having so requested, the Court hereby orders that it will enforce any written agreements reached by the parties in the above-referenced action governing the exchange of confidential information in discovery in accordance with the proposed amendment to Local Civil Rule 26(c)(2). DATED this 25<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2012. United States District Judge